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## ABSTRACT

This paper examines the growth of noncomercial fy radio and the service it provides to various regions of the onited States ard to large metropolitan areas. It foncludes.that the service provided ioes not reflect the policies for honconercial broadcasting set forth by Congress and the Federal Communcations Commission and. that it does not represente a systematic, plan of alternative local and national programang. for diverse andiences. The paper points to the need for research into the status of nonconeercial $P$ radio so that policy decisions can be made in keeping with the concept on which the system is based. (GW)

-The 1967 Public Broadcasting Act, inforporated in the Communications - i

Act of 1934, as amended, includes a Congressional declaration of policy governing the-operation of the Corporation for Public Broadcasting. This policy projects the furtherance of the general welfare through encouraging "non-commercial educątional radio and television broadcast programing * which will be responsive to the interests of people both in particular $\quad$ : localities and throughout the United States, and which will constitute an. expression of diversity and excellence." ${ }^{1}$ The responsiveness of noncomercial educational $\mathrm{FM}^{2}$ to local and national irfterests is virimarily a function of the ability of such stations to reach diverse audiences within the population. Reaching such audiences has been a combination of planning and blind luck. Planniag on the. part of the Federal Communications Com- . mission (FCC) has allocated certain frequencies (between 88.1 and 91.1 the) for non-commercial Fíbroadcasting. Howevet, the granting of the license; the power of the station, and the programirig of the licensee combine to form an unpredictable situation. Who shall be served with what programming with what quallty of reception has been left to chance.

This paper profiles the service of non-commercial FM in the United States: the growth of non-compercial FM, the service to various sections of the country, and the service to population areas.

GROWTH OF NON-COMMERCIAL FM
Eshelman described the growth of educational FM between 1945 and 1966; the earily era study traced the emergence of 302 education FM stations

[^0]over the two decades prior to the Pullic Broadcasting Act of 1967. ${ }^{3}$ During the decade since, the 1967 Act, the number has increased nearly two-fold to 802 stations. ${ }^{4}$

-
These 802 non-commercial FMs are distributed in the following power classifications:

| 10watt | 383 | 48\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11w-2.99kw | 186 | . $23 \%$ |
| 3kw-49.9kw | 144 | 18\% |
| $50 \mathrm{kw}-99.9 \mathrm{kw}$ | 48 | 6\% |
| 100kwt | 41 | 5\% |

The preponderance of ten-watt non-commercial FMs is not a recent phenomena. Since the FCC began allocating ten-watt licenses to educational institutions in 1948, this has been a low-budget, relatively haphaard and popular means for many educational institytions to have a laboratory. experience for a broadcast sequence or program. In 1965 Eshelman surveyed 72 EN stations of colleges with enrollments between 1,000 and $5,000.5$ He found $46 \%$ of the stations to be 10-watters. The similarity of this percentage with the 1975 figure for 10 -watt stations indicates that the use of low power stations has kept pace with the overall growth of non-commercial FM.

## SERVICE TO REGIONS OF THE COUNTRY

The authors used population data for nine areas of the country from Standard Rate and Data Service (SRDS) for $1976^{6}$ and individual station data
3. David Eshelman, "The Emergence of Educational FM Broadcasting," The NAEB Journal, 26 (March-Apri1', 1967), p. 59.
4. The early efforts of the Corporation for Public Broadcasting in assisting "qualified stations" is well documented in: Elizabeth L.' Younǵ,. "Public' Radio in the Seventies," Educational Broadcasting Review, 4 (December, 1970), 47-52:
5. David Eshelman, "About College FM, Stations," The NAEB Journal,' 24 (Sept,/Oct:', 1965), 33-42.
6. Spot Radio Rates and Data, 1976 (Skokie, Illinois: Standard, Rate and Data Service, 1976):
from the 1976 Broadcasting Yearbook. ${ }^{7}$ Not included in the graphs are stations serving Alaska and Hawail. - - three non-commercial FMs, two of

Nof-commercial FM stations are licensed to areas of the country in . disproportionate numbers to the populations served.

INSERT GRAPH 2

There is no consistency between the population of an area, the size of the 'area and the number of non-commercial FM stations serving that area. New England, East North Central states and West North Central states are gerved by, a higher number of non-commercial FM stations in respect to popylation. The size of the West North Central area necessitates a large number of stations, but the argument dissolves when applied to New England. Furthermore, the West South Central area is underserved by' non-commercial programming despite its size.

When the powers of the non-comercial FM stations in each area are added to the mix, the inequities of service become more pronounced.

## INSERT GRAPH

The New Englànd area is served primarily by 10-watt stations; the densely populated region warrants such service. The same rationale explains the large number óf $100,000 \mathrm{kw}$ stations serving the West North Central states. However, the Mountain and Pacific areas are served by few high-power non; : comercial FMs. The large number of $10-$ wat't $^{\prime}$ licenses in these areas, as . well as the' East and West North Central areas, belies a corlsistency. of ' service throughout the nation.
7. Broadcasting Yearbook, 1976 (N. Washinç̣on, D.C. Broadçąsting Publicatịons, 1976).

SERVICE BY POPULATION SIZE
Using market data from ȘRDS, ${ }^{8}$ non-commercial FM service to the 353 'Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA) in the United States and , service to communities outside SMSAs were profiled.


Although stations serving communities butside the SMSAs are program'ming to low-density areas, the data indicate the highest proportion of 10rwatt stations is found in this category. A few more high-power noncommetcial FMs servé this broad category than other categories, but the number is meaningless when measured ągainst the area which is served.

The top forty-four markets are served by non-commercial FM stations; but the forty-fifth market, oklahoma City, has no non-commercial programming for its 800,000 inhabitants.. Birmingham, Alabama, and Charlotte, North Carolina, are each served by one 10-watt station. Overall 137 of the 353 SMSAs, nearly 40 percent, hąve nomon-commercial FM service. There is a correlation between market size and non-icommercial service; smallé markets are less Iikely to have such service, but, in addition to those markets previously merfioned, Baton Rouge, Fort Hayne, and York; Pernnsylvania, are also. without non-comercial voices.

NOTN-COMMERCIAL FM SERVICE
Within each of the SMSA categorifes, non-commercial. FM stations co-exist with, their ${ }^{4}$ ommercial countegparts. Congress declared that the non-commercial service needs special caxe and nurturing: "; . that expansion and"development of nón-commercial educational radio and te levision brọadcasting and of diversity of its programing depend on freedom, imgination and initiative
8. Seee fn. 6.,
on both the local and national levels."." In its 1973 Notice of inquiry and Notice of Próposed Rulemaking concerning ascertainment of comunity problems by educational broadcast applicants, the FCC compared non-commercial to commercial broadcasting:

The non-commercial broadctat service, by definition, differs markedly from the commercial service. . It is designed to serve the educational needs of the community, and it is intended to meet cultural and informational interests often given minimal attention by commercial broadcasters who normaliy program to reach a large audience....Its (non-commercial broadcasting) strength, in fact, may derive from its ability to be imovative anfore serve significapt minority tastes, needs and interests. 10 fon-commercial $F M$ needs to be considered within the total broadcast' servife of a market to determine if the Congressional and FCC criteria for educ fional programing are being satisfíed. The areas of the country and population centers breakdowns have shown where non-commercial FM is active and where such service is weak; the following section will provide miniproflles of broadcast seryfice in each of the SMSA categories. What programming is non-comercial FM providing to the commity? To what degree is the programming duplicative of the comnercial service? Six markets, one from each of. the SMSA categories and each ffom a different area of the country, have been selected to begin the answer to these ifportant questions.

Washington, D.C. $\cdot$ is the eighth market and is served by.twenty stations,' with nine commercial FM stations and four 'non-comercial FMs. The nonconmercial FMs are• licensed to two universities, a communtity corporation, and Pacifica Broadcasting, which is the licensee to five-non-commercial FM stations. "Two of the noncomercial stations, one university and the community station, are NPR 'affiliates in the $50-99.9 \mathrm{kw}$ range. The formet provideo a diversified program service of black, jazz, country western,

[^1]10. Educational Broadcalst and Renewal Applicants, ' 42 FCC2d 690-701, at 694.
spanish and bluegrass; the 1 latter is predomantly classical with some talk, jazz and folk. Cammercial FM service in Washington duplicates the non-. commercial formats: black, spanigh and a diversified-format university station are available, in addition to beautiful music, disco, album rock and progressive. The non-commercfal alterpative, the appeal to "minority tastes, needs and interests," is difficult to determine. Even the Pacifica station, part of a group concerned with community service, is in competition with two all-news stations.

Kansas City, the twenty eighth market, faces a similar problem. The classical music format of its 100 kw non-comercial university $F M$ is duplicated by a commercial licensee. The other two non-commercial FM services have religious licensees, a denominational college and a seminary, and formats. The FM commercial service includes black, rock, middle of the road, beautiful music and classical; six AM stations fill in the gaps. The university station brings NPR to Kansas City, las did the community station in Washington, but the programming surrounding NPR appears to be repetitive of commercial programming;

In Nashville, at least one of the non-commercial FM stations is clearly bringing alternative programming to the inhabjtants of the fifty-first market. Commercial FM stations progrdm middlé of the road, country and progressive; a college station offers top-40, and the university station duplicates the progressive format, with the addition of twenty-five hours a week of Broadway, blúegrass, blues, black, classical and jazz. The primary initiative tóward aniternative comes from a 100 kw publfc library licensee. .Supported by NPR, .the station programs classical, cultural and educational programming.

Duplication continues as low as the 222 market. San Luis Obispo has progressive formats on non-commercial and commercial FM stations. The
alternative to beautiful music, middle of the road and rock is found on a low powered, 76 watt,' communfty otation which prdgrams NPR, classical, jazz, black, and country western.

In the smaller SMSAs and non-markets the pattern is repeated. Lewiston, Maine, is served by a 10-watt codlege, station and a 30kw. commercial FH, both with progressive and jazz-programing. Far from a mero area, Bozeman, Montana's only FM station is 'a university licensee with a diversified programming of black; country westert, cfassical and eighteen hours a week of jazz.

CONCLUSIONS
The data hạve profiled non-commercial FM service in the United States. The profiles do not match the concepts for non-commercial broadcasting set forth by both Congress and the FCC. Non-commercial FM service does not represent. a systematic plan of alternative.local and national programming to diverse audiences. The reception of an FM signal is not a function of where one lives, and only for the largest SMSAs is it a function of the size of the city one lives in. The content of non-commercial FM is independent of cpmercial programming. The major questions remain: Who is noncommercial ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{FM}$ serving? Is it alternative programing to minority needs?

Stronger profiles are néeded to determine the service of non-commercial FM types: NPR stations; religious stations, university stations, et al. The profiles need to be filled in along the lines of the mini-profiles of six markets. Research needsto determine what is going on so that policy decisions can be made to match the system with the concept. The 802 stations already licensed will tend ta force the creation of standards which correlate. with current.service unless there is a systematic effort to researcb; make policy, and initiate rules which reflect the public good as opposed the the status quo.


Graph I: Growth on Non-Commercial FM, 1940-1975
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Gráph 4: Non-Commercial FM Service to SMSAs' and Non-Markets


[^0]:    1. 47. U.S.C. 396
    1. The term "non-cotmercial educational FM," as used by the FCC (sed 47 - U.S.C. 501), includes stations "licensed to non-profit institutions académic, rẹligious and community.
[^1]:    9. , 47 U.S.C. 396.
